

Clifford "CHIP" MORGAN

Morgan Polygraph 6126 W. State Street, Suite 401, Boise, Idaho 83703 • (208) 573-9405

Chip Morgan is an internationally recognized polygraph instructor, law enforcement trainer and lecturer. Mr. Morgan has shared his training and expertise with others in law enforcement, military and corrections arenas. Chip has been a featured speaker, primary instructor, guest lecturer and keynote speaker at numerous national training seminars and colleges throughout the world.

EMPLOYMENT

JOB TITLE: Senior Instructor and Co-Director, Backster School of Lie Detection, 2018 to present.

JOB TITLE: Senior Polygraph Instructor, PEAK Credibility Assessment Training Center, a division of Lafayette Instrument Company. 2016 to 2018. Cape Coral, FL.

JOB TITLE: Contract Polygraph Examiner, Ada County Sheriff's Office, Boise, Idaho. 2006 to present.

JOB TITLE: Director of Polygraph Division, Stoelting Company. 2014-2016. Chicago, IL.

JOB TITLE: Polygraph and PCSOT Instructor, The Polygraph Institute: 2012 to 2016. *San Antonio, Texas*

JOB TITLE: Owner, Morgan Forensic Services. – 2005 to present, *Boise, Idaho.*

JOB TITLE: MVM, INC. – Program Manager – Polygraph School Director – U.S. Department of Defense, Baghdad, Iraq. 2010-2011

JOB TITLE: Detective, Criminal Polygraph Examiner. Retired: 1975 to 2006 - *Boise Police Department Boise, Idaho*

JOB TITLE: Curriculum Development Team – Core Instructor – Small Town and Rural Drug Enforcement Training Program, Federal Law Enforcement Training Center, Glynco, Georgia. 1990-2000.

Chip Morgan is the past Chairman of the Board and President of the National Polygraph Association and is past president of the following organizations: International Brotherhood of Police Officers, Boise Police Association, Idaho Fire & Arson Investigators Association, Idaho Narcotics Officers Association, and Idaho Polygraph Association.

Chip Morgan is a full member and instructor in the American Association of Police Polygraphists, the National Polygraph Association and the American Polygraph Association. In 2019, the National Polygraph Association awarded Chip a Lifetime Membership and a Lifetime Achievement Award.

Chip Morgan is a graduate of the Backster School of Lie Detection and he is a Nationally Certified Forensic Law Enforcement Polygraph Examiner (Cert. # 1646) with the American Association of Police Polygraphists (AAPP) and the Northwest Polygraph Examiner's Association. Detective Morgan is also a certified Clinical Polygraph Examiner in Sex Offender testing methodology, fully certified to administer Post Conviction Sex Offender Testing by the APA and the Idaho Sex Offender Management Board.

Chip Morgan has an Associate of Arts in Criminal Justice Administration and a Bachelor of Science degree in International Security Management and Intelligence. He has qualified and testified as an expert in Idaho and California State Courts and the United States Federal Court system. Chip Morgan holds a Master's Certification from the Peace Officer Standards and Training Council and has been a certified Law Enforcement Instructor since 1980 and a Polygraph Science Instructor since 2010.

Chip Morgan is a published author and recognized expert in the art of Interview and Interrogation. His text, *Focused Interviewing* 2013 has been a course text at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Glynco, Georgia as well as the Peace Officers Standards and Training center in Boise, Idaho.

POLYGRAPH REPORT


CONFIDENTIAL

Name: Aaron von Ehlinger

Test date: March 29, 2021

Examiner: Chip Morgan

Polygraph #: C-21-5514



Morgan
POLYGRAPH

I conducted a psychophysiological detection of deception polygraph examination on Aaron von Ehlinger, at the Morgan Polygraph suites located at 6126 W. State Street, Boise, Idaho, 83703.

This polygraph was conducted at the request of Attorney Scott McKay and the purpose of the polygraph examination was to assess Aaron's veracity concerning allegations that he engaged in sexual contact with a woman without her full consent.

This matter has been, or is being, investigated by the Idaho House of Representatives, the Idaho Attorney General's Office and the Boise Police Department.

The polygraph examination consisted of three phases:

- pre-test interview,
- examination phase
- post-test interview.

Chip Morgan
6126 W. State Street,
Boise, ID 83703
lieguy@gmail.com
(208) 573-9405

A brief pre-test summary of the case (according to Attorney McKay, Aaron von Ehlinger and my review of attached official reports) is as follows:

Aaron von Ehlinger (hereafter referred to as Aaron) is a Representative in the Idaho House of Representatives. [REDACTED] (hereafter referred to as [REDACTED]) is a [REDACTED] with the House of Representatives, although she is not assigned to assist Aaron as a part of her duties.

[REDACTED] has alleged that in March, 2021, she and Aaron engaged in sexual contact against her will. [REDACTED] further alleged that on different occasions, Aaron gave her money to pay for gas, let her keep the change from money he gave her for lunch and bought her lunch.

[REDACTED] alleged that one night she met Aaron in downtown Boise, where she rode in his car to the Barbacoa Grill, where they had dinner. She alleged that following dinner, she and Aaron went to his apartment. At that location, [REDACTED] alleges that she engaged in sexual contact (fellatio) with Aaron, but no allegation was made of sexual intercourse. [REDACTED] alleged that at one point she told Aaron "No" and she alleged that she was intimidated by him because he carries a gun. She also stated that she felt she couldn't refuse Aaron's sexual advances due to a power differential between his status as a Representative and hers as [REDACTED]

During our pre-test interview, Aaron stated the following:

- He acknowledged that he and [REDACTED] did engage in consensual sexual contact in his apartment.
 - The sexual contact he referred to was, kissing, fondling of breasts, kissing of her breasts, manipulation of his penis by her hand and fellatio.
- [REDACTED] was a willing participant in all of the sexual contact described above.
- He has never supervised, or directed the activities of, [REDACTED] in her role of [REDACTED] for the House of Representatives and she is not assigned to him as his [REDACTED]
- He has never given [REDACTED] money for any reason; has not bought her lunch, never let her keep money from her getting lunch and never gave her gas money.
- He never used force, fear or coercion to persuade or make [REDACTED] engage in any form of sexual contact.

I constructed a simple Interview Route Map, to aid the testing process (see attached). This map is designed to remove ambiguity from the polygraph interview process by providing an operational definition of terms. In this case, the mind map gave a visual explanation for the terms "Verbal Indicators" and Non-Verbal Indicators" in the non-consent category. This provided an operational definition of those terms when they were used in polygraph questions.

Examiner and Instrumentation:

I am a graduate of The Backster School of Lie Detection and I am a Certified Forensic Law Enforcement Polygraph Examiner (Certificate #1646), said certification being issued and administered by the American Association of Police Polygraphists.

I am a full member of, and instructor for, the American Polygraph Association, the American Association of Police Polygraphists, the National Polygraph Association and the Idaho Sex Offender Management Board (SOMB).

The examination was conducted utilizing a computer polygraph system, which combines conventional polygraph procedures with computer graphics display and processing.

All physiological responses were monitored during the presentation of the questions by means of a Lafayette LX-6 polygraph instrument. The instrument serial number is 200669D3. The scoring method utilized for this examination was the Empirical Scoring System (ESS).

Testing Methodology:

At the conclusion of the pretest interview, an acquaintance test was conducted. That procedure was designed to demonstrate to the subject and to me that they were a suitable subject for a psychophysiological detection of deception examination. Adequate recordings of the subject's physiology were obtained during this procedure, so the examination continued.

A comparison question test was then conducted using validated testing methods. The Utah Technique, which is numerically scored, was utilized for this examination. This format is based on the criteria and procedures developed and validated at the University of Utah. This method of evaluation was the subject of numerous scientific studies and represents the evaluation method with the strongest scientific validation. Data from at least 3 presentations of the questions were obtained and were subject to numerical scoring.

The data was also analyzed by two computer scoring algorithms, called the Empirical Scoring System (ESS) and the Objective Scoring System, Version 3 (OSS3). The computerized test data analysis agreed with my professional scoring of the data and the results are incorporated in this report.

This series of testing was conducted using an **Evidentiary** standard.

The results were scored from the following traditional physiological parameters:

- * Pneumo - Respiration - Two input channels
- * EDR/GSC – Electro-Dermal Response/Galvanic Skin Conductance
- * Cardio - Rate, Strength and Amplitude from two different indices
- * Movement sensor on subject chair

Together with Aaron, I formulated the following relevant questions:

| QUESTION FORMULATION: | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------|
| S/R | Are you going to tell me the truth about accusations you forced [REDACTED] into having sexual contact with you? | Answered: Yes |
| R1 | Have you ever given [REDACTED] money? | Answered: No |
| R2 | During your sexual encounter with [REDACTED] - did she ever indicate verbally or non-verbally that she did not want to participate? | Answered: No |
| R3 | Have you ever supervised [REDACTED] or directed her to perform any official duties as [REDACTED] for the legislature? | Answered: No |

CONCLUSION:

Chart Analysis: No Reactions Indicative of Deception

Professional Opinion:

I believe that the examinee Aaron von Ehlinger is being **Truthful** to the tested issues.

Aaron von Ehlinger showed no significant reactions to the relevant questions detailed above. The absence of significant reaction is consistent with truthfulness and this examinee should be regarded as being truthful to the tested issues.

I submitted this data to be analyzed under the Empirical Scoring System and Objective Scoring System algorithms and those analysis agreed with my professional opinion of No Deception Indicated.

Please contact me if I may be of further assistance in this matter.

Chip Morgan

Chip Morgan
lieguy@gmail.com
(208) 573-9405

March 11, 2021

For: Detective Iverson, Boise Police Dept

Preface: I was asked to give a written statement concerning information conveyed to me by Idaho House of Representative [REDACTED]. My relationship with [REDACTED] started in January 2020, when she was a [REDACTED] and I was the Asst. Sergeant at Arms for the House of Representatives. Part of my duties was to oversee the [REDACTED] during the Legislative Session.

[REDACTED] came to me around 8:20 a.m. on the morning of March 11, 2021 and asked if she could talk to me in private. We went to an area where we could talk. I asked her what was going on and she was clearly upset and began telling me that she had gone to dinner last night with a person and something had happened and she wasn't sure what to do. I asked her to be specific and start at the beginning. She said it started with this person being nice to her at work. He gave her \$40 to go get him lunch and when she came back with the lunch, he told her to keep the change. She said it was nice because it helped her pay her Les Schwab bill. On other occasions, he would bring her lunch and paid to fill-up her car with gas. On the night of March 10, 2021, she met him in downtown Boise. She got in his car and he took her to the Barbacoa Grill for dinner. She said he was a gentleman and opened the doors for her and they talked about her life and stuff. After dinner, they went back to his apartment and he left to go put something in his car. She started talking to me about him having an erection. She didn't say what happened between him leaving to go to his car and her seeing he had an erection, but she said she still had her coat on. She said she froze when the sexual contact started and didn't know what to do. He said this shouldn't scare her because she was already [REDACTED]. He started taking her clothes off and then she said he had his penis in her mouth and ejaculated on her. I asked her if she told him "No" at anytime and she said she did. She said to me "you know he has a gun". She said he relayed an incident in the past where a Legislative Representative said something inappropriate to a [REDACTED] and a big deal was made of the comment. He told her he wasn't going to tell anyone. She then said that the person she was with last night was Rep. von Ehlinger.

After hearing what [REDACTED] had just told me, I said "You must want me to help you or you wouldn't have come to me and told me of this encounter". She told me that she was afraid to be around him. I asked her "Do you want to report this?". She said she was afraid and didn't know what to do. I said "[REDACTED] you must be uncomfortable with what happened last night or you wouldn't have come to me and now you are saying you are afraid to work around him. I will help you report this incident through the proper channels, but you will need to tell your accounting of what happened to someone that will help you. Do you want me to report this?" She said yes. ~~At that point, she told me to wait and she hurried off. She didn't immediately return so I headed back to my office. I came across her coming out of the bathroom and she said she had just thrown up. I asked her again "You want me to report this, correct?" and she said yes. She went back to her work area and I immediately informed the Speaker of the House, Scott Bedke of my conversation with [REDACTED]~~

I believe this to be a true and accurate accounting of my conversation with [REDACTED] on March 11, 2021.

Kim S. Blackburn
Asst. Sergeant at Arms
House of Representatives
(208) 332-1151

SAGE G. DIXON
DISTRICT 1
BONNER & BOUNDARY COUNTIES



HOME ADDRESS
P.O. BOX 206
PONDERAY, IDAHO 83852
(208) 610-4800
EMAIL: sdixon@house.idaho.gov

House of Representatives State of Idaho

Rep. Aaron von Ehlinger
Idaho House of Representatives
District 6

March 19, 2021
HAND DELIVERED

Rep. von Ehlinger,

As Chairman of the Ethics and House Policy Committee, it is my duty to inform you that a formal ethics complaint has been filed against you. The allegations in the complaint state that you have potentially engaged in conduct unbecoming of a Representative which would be detrimental to the integrity of the House as a legislative body under House Rule 45(2)(b)(i). As per House Rule 45(2)(d), The Ethics and House Policy Committee met and has determined that the complaint is valid, and is proceeding with the course of action as outlined in House Rule 45(3). Upon delivery of this notice, you have up to fourteen days to provide a written response to the Committee, via the Chairman, per House Rule 45(3).

As of now, the complaint remains confidential. I suggest you make yourself familiar with House Rule 45, which contains the House Rules regarding ethics, in order to understand the process more clearly. While these are not legal proceedings, you are able to seek legal representation, and to use counsel throughout the proceedings, should you wish to do so.

You will find a copy of the complaint, as well as evidence supporting the complaint, enclosed with this letter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S.G. Dixon".

Rep. Sage G. Dixon

Chairman, Ethics and House Policy Committee



House of Representatives

STATE OF IDAHO

CAPITOL BUILDING

P.O. BOX 83720
BOISE, ID 83720-0038

March 17, 2021

Rep. Sage Dixon, Chairman
House Ethics & House Policy Committee
HAND DELIVERED

Dear Chairman Dixon:

On March 11 a complaint of inappropriate sexual conduct involving [REDACTED] and Representative von Ehlinger was reported to the Speaker of the House by the Assistant Sergeant at Arms, Kim Blackburn. Upon receipt of this information, it was immediately reported to the Attorney General's Office, who interviewed Ms. Blackburn and determined that the encounter may have violated the House of Representatives Respectful Workplace guidelines. At that time, the Attorney General's Office referred this matter to law enforcement for a possible criminal investigation. An investigation by the Boise Police Department was commenced. On March 12, House Majority Leadership was briefed regarding these allegations. On advice of the Attorney General's Office, no further action was taken by the House Majority Leadership at that time in order to prevent interference with the criminal investigation. On March 16, House Majority Leadership was informed that the law enforcement investigation would not be moving forward at the request of [REDACTED]. Based upon the complaint being brought to our attention, and now that it appears that we will no longer be interfering with an ongoing investigation, we feel compelled to bring this complaint before the Ethics and House Policy Committee.

We, the undersigned, bring a complaint alleging that Representative von Ehlinger has possibly engaged in conduct unbecoming a Representative which would be detrimental to the integrity of the House as a legislative body under House Rule 45(2)(b)(i). Due to the severity of the allegations contained within the complaint, we are referring this matter to the Ethics Committee. It has been alleged that Representative von Ehlinger used his position as a member of the House of Representatives to initiate a sexual encounter [REDACTED] of the House of Representatives.


Within the complaint made to the Assistant Sergeant at Arms, it is alleged that Representative von Ehlinger took [REDACTED] to dinner. Following dinner, Representative von Ehlinger took [REDACTED] back to his apartment, saying he had to stop by for something that he had forgotten. [REDACTED] accompanied him up to his apartment. The details of what occurred next are unclear, but at some point, Representative von Ehlinger is alleged to have initiated a sexual encounter with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] reported that at some point she said "no" to the encounter.

According to the allegations within the complaint, [REDACTED] did not want this to occur. It is further alleged that she felt she could not refuse because of the power differential between Representative von Ehlinger as a member of the Idaho House of Representatives, and her as a [REDACTED]. It is also alleged that [REDACTED] was also fearful because she believes that Representative von Ehlinger carries a gun at all times.

Based upon our knowledge, the individuals with information regarding these events are [REDACTED] Assistant Sergeant at Arms Kim Blackburn, Detective Monte Iverson, Detective Brandon Joseph, and Representative von Ehlinger. Included with this letter is the statement provided by Ms. Blackburn to the Boise City Police.

The above actions constitute the basis for this ethics complaint. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.


Sincerely,



Rep. Scott Bedke
Speaker



Rep. Mike Moyle
Majority Leader



Rep. Jason Monks
Assistant Majority Leader



Rep. Megan Blanksma
Caucus Chair

NO

STOP

VERBAL
INDICATORS

DON'T

I DON'T WANT TO

HITTING

PUSHING

NON-VERBAL
INDICATORS

KICKING
BITING

SCRATCHING
MOVING AWAY

Empirical Scoring System, Multinomial (ESS-M) Report AdjustedPriorProbability: 0.5

Examinee Aaron von Ehlinger
Result NO SIGNIFICANT REACTIONS INDICATIVE OF DECEPTION
Posterior odds 20.1 to 1 odds of truth (.95)
 Lower limit 8 to 1 (.89, one tailed alpha = .05)
 Prior odds Deception 1 to 1 (.50) Truth 1 to 1 (.50)
 Bayes factor 20.1
 Decision Rule Two-Stage Rules
 Test of Proportions No significant differences in artifact distribution ($p = .5$)

Questions

R1 Have you ever given [REDACTED] money? (N)
 R2 During your sexual encounter with [REDACTED] - did she ever indicate verbally or non- verbally that she did not want to participate? (N)
 R3 Have you ever supervised [REDACTED] or directed her to perform any official duties as an [REDACTED] for the legislature? (N)

| Test Details | Questions | Lower limit | Result | ESS-M Scores | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|---|--|--|--|--|----|----|----|--|----|--|--|--|--|----|---|----|---|--|-----|---|----|---|--|--------|---|---|---|--|-----|---|---|---|--|
| C-21-5514 | Questions | | NDI/NSR | Series 2, Chart 1 <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>R1</th> <th>R2</th> <th>R3</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>P1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>P2</td> <td>0</td> <td>-1</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>EDA</td> <td>2</td> <td>-2</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cardio</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>PLE</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | | | | R1 | R2 | R3 | | P1 | | | | | P2 | 0 | -1 | 0 | | EDA | 2 | -2 | 2 | | Cardio | 1 | 1 | 1 | | PLE | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| | R1 | R2 | R3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P2 | 0 | -1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EDA | 2 | -2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cardio | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PLE | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exam Date 3/29/2021 | R1 | | NDI/NSR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Chip Morgan | R2 | | NDI/NSR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Report Date 3/30/2021 | R3 | | NDI/NSR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Analysis Parameters

| | | Cutscores | |
|-----------------------------|-----|----------------|----|
| Prior probability | .5 | Total NSR/NDI | 3 |
| Cut ratio | 1.0 | Total SR/DI | -3 |
| CI alpha SR/DI (1 tailed) | .05 | Subtotal SR/DI | -7 |
| CI alpha NSR/NDI (1 tailed) | .05 | | |
| Pairwise Alpha (1 tailed) | .01 | | |
| Alpha - Test of Proportions | .05 | | |

Summary of Analysis

Recorded physiological data were evaluated with the Empirical Scoring System (ESS-M). The ESS-M is an evidence-based, standardized protocol for polygraph test data analysis using a Bayesian classifier with a multinomial reference distribution. Bayesian analysis treats the parameter of interest (i.e., deception or truth-telling) as a probability value for which the test data, together with the prior probability, are a basis of information to calculate a posterior probability. The multinomial reference distribution is calculated from the analytic theory of the polygraph test - that greater changes in physiological activity are loaded at different types of test stimuli as a function of deception or truth-telling in response to relevant target stimuli. The reference distribution for this exam describes the probabilities associated with the numerical scores for all possible combinations of all possible test scores for all recording sensors.

These results were calculated using a prior probability of .50 for which the prior odds of truth-telling were 1 to 1. A credible-interval (Bayesian confidence interval) was also calculated for the posterior odds of truth-telling using the Clopper-Pearson method and a one-tailed alpha = .05. The credible-interval describes the variability of the analytic result by treating the test statistic (posterior odds) as a random variable for which the limits of the credible interval can be inferred statistically from the test data. A test result is statistically significant when the lower limit of the credible interval for the posterior odds has exceeded the greater value of the prior odds or the required minimum cut-ratio.

The categorical test result was parsed from the probabilistic result using two-stage decision rules. Two-stage rules are based on an assumption that the criterion variance of the test questions is non-independent, and make use of both the grand total and subtotal scores. The grand total score of 14 equaled or exceeded the required numerical cutscore (3). These data produced a Bayes factor of 20.1. The posterior odds of truth-telling was 20.1 to 1 for which the posterior probability was .95. The lower limit of the 1-alpha Bayesian credible interval was 8 to 1, which exceeded the prior odds (1 to 1). This indicates a likelihood of 95% that the posterior odds of truth exceed the prior odds. These analytic results support the conclusion that there were NO SIGNIFICANT REACTIONS INDICATIVE OF DECEPTION in the loading of recorded changes in physiological activity in response to the relevant test stimuli during this examination.

| Series 2, Chart 2 | | | | |
|-------------------|----|----|----|--|
| | R1 | R2 | R3 | |
| P1 | | | | |
| P2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| EDA | -2 | -2 | 2 | |
| Cardio | 1 | 0 | -1 | |
| PLE | -1 | 1 | -1 | |

| Series 2, Chart 3 | | | | |
|-------------------|----|----|----|--|
| | R1 | R2 | R3 | |
| P1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| P2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | |
| EDA | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| Cardio | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| PLE | 1 | 1 | 1 | |

| | R1 | R2 | R3 | |
|-------------|----|----|----|--|
| Sub-Totals | 4 | 0 | 10 | |
| Grand Total | 14 | | | |

Lafayette Instrument Company
Objective Scoring System - Version 3
 By Raymond Nelson, Mark Handler and Donald Krapohl (2007)

Result **No Significant Reactions**
 Description **Probability of non-deception: >99.9%**
 Exam Type Event Specific/Single Issue (Zone)
 Scoring Method OSS-3 Two-stage (Senter 2003)
 Test of Proportions None - No significant differences in artifact distribution
 PF Name C-21-5514
 Report Date Monday, March 29, 2021
 Subject Aaron von Ehlinger
 Examiner Chip Morgan

| Spot Scores | | Decision Alpha (1 tailed) <small>Cumulative normal distribution (Barland 1985)</small> | | Components | | |
|-------------|---------|---|--|----------------|-----------|--------|
| ID | p-value | Result | Setting | Value | Component | Weight |
| R1 | < 0.001 | | NSR | 0.050 | Pneumo | 0.19 |
| R2 | 0.001 | | SR | 0.050 | EDA | 0.53 |
| R3 | < 0.001 | | Bonferroni corrected alpha Test of Proportions (1 tailed) | 0.017 0.050 | Cardio | 0.28 |

| Relevant Questions | | |
|--------------------|--|--------|
| ID | Question Text | Answer |
| R1 | Have you ever given [redacted] money? | No |
| R2 | During your sexual encounter with [redacted] did she ever indicate verbally or non- verbally that she did not want to participate? | No |
| R3 | Have you ever supervised [redacted] or directed her to perform any official duties as an [redacted] for the legislature? | No |

| Charts Scored | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-----------|---------|--|
| Exam | Chart | Date | Time | |
| 2 | 1 | 3/29/2021 | 4:05 PM | |
| 2 | 2 | 3/29/2021 | 4:12 PM | |
| 2 | 3 | 3/29/2021 | 4:20 PM | |

| Remarks |
|---------|
| |

| Measurements (Kircher and Raskin 1988) | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Exam 2 Chart 1 | | | | | | |
| | C1 | R1 | C2 | R2 | C3 | R3 |
| P1 | 212 | 248 | 226 | 211 | 228 | 209 |
| P2 | 202 | 238 | 228 | 209 | 195 | 198 |
| EDA | 93 | 53 | 78 | 92 | 85 | 25 |
| Cardio | 93 | 62 | 101 | 64 | 88 | 67 |
| SE | 33 | 27 | 27 | 33 | 28 | 28 |
| Exam 2 Chart 2 | | | | | | |
| | C1 | R1 | C2 | R2 | C3 | R3 |
| P1 | 238 | 220 | 240 | 184 | 201 | 236 |
| P2 | 250 | 259 | 289 | 278 | 288 | 364 |
| EDA | 154 | 123 | 122 | 136 | 77 | 108 |
| Cardio | 174 | 79 | 98 | 56 | 31 | 97 |
| SE | 23 | 23 | 21 | 15 | 18 | 20 |
| Exam 2 Chart 3 | | | | | | |
| | C1 | R1 | C2 | R2 | C3 | R3 |
| P1 | 223 | 229 | 246 | 268 | 211 | 215 |
| P2 | 230 | 286 | 256 | 304 | 276 | 291 |
| EDA | 316 | 133 | 155 | 165 | 162 | 147 |
| Cardio | 91 | 53 | 63 | 57 | 99 | 44 |
| SE | 27 | 26 | 31 | 22 | 23 | 20 |

| Standardized Lognormal Ratios | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Exam 2 Chart 1 | | | |
| | R1 | R2 | R3 |
| P | 1.60 | 0.00 | -0.20 |
| EDA | 0.99 | -0.11 | 2.11 |
| Cardio | 2.10 | 1.93 | 1.69 |
| WMean | 1.42 | 0.48 | 1.55 |
| Mean | 1.15 | | |
| Exam 2 Chart 2 | | | |
| | R1 | R2 | R3 |
| P | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.96 |
| EDA | -0.05 | -0.25 | 0.21 |
| Cardio | 1.20 | 3.00 | 0.12 |
| WMean | 0.31 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| Mean | 0.58 | | |
| Exam 2 Chart 3 | | | |
| | R1 | R2 | R3 |
| P | 1.47 | 2.04 | 0.00 |
| EDA | 0.96 | 0.53 | 0.76 |
| Cardio | 2.35 | 1.97 | 3.00 |
| WMean | 1.45 | 1.22 | 1.24 |
| Mean | 1.31 | | |

| Channel Contributions | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Component | Proportion | Area | Chart | Proportion | ID | Proportion |
| Pneumo | 0.180 | 0.524 | Exam 2 Chart 1 | 0.350 | R1 | 0.339 |
| EDA | 0.467 | 0.420 | Exam 2 Chart 2 | 0.287 | R2 | 0.313 |
| Cardio | 0.353 | 0.566 | Exam 2 Chart 3 | 0.362 | R3 | 0.348 |

| Results | | |
|-------------------------|------|------|
| Weighted Mean | | |
| 1.06 | 0.80 | 1.17 |
| Grand Total Mean | | |
| 1.01 | | |

POLYGRAPH CONSENT/AUTHORIZATION

I, Aaron von Ehlinger, voluntarily - without threats, duress, coercion, force, promises of immunity or reward - agree and stipulate to take a polygraph examination and to participate in all related polygraph interviews. I consent to the use of audio and visual recording devices.

I understand that Morgan Polygraph, Inc. has been retained by my legal representative to conduct a polygraph interview in this case. As such, the work of Morgan Polygraph, Inc. in this case is considered "work product" of trial preparation and is covered by attorney-client privilege.

I do hereby authorize Morgan Polygraph, Inc. and its officers, employees and/or agents to discuss both orally and in writing the examination results and opinions to officers, employees and/or agents of ATTORNEYS R NEVIN, BENJAMIN, & MCKAY.

In consideration of and as an inducement for Morgan Polygraph, Inc. to give me this polygraph examination, I - for myself and my successors, assigns, heirs, executors and administrators - hereby release, absolve, remise, covenant, promise, agree to save harmless, forever discharge and hold free from all harm, liability or damage to me Morgan Polygraph, Inc. and its officers, employees and agents individually, collectively and personally.

In addition, I knowingly remise, release, waive and forever discharge each and all of the above named from any and all suits, actions or causes of actions at law, claims, demands or liabilities either in law or in equity including but not limited to false arrest, false imprisonment, libel, slander or invasion of all of my rights which I, my successors, assigns, heirs, executors or administrators have or may ever have resulting directly, indirectly or remotely from my taking said examination, possible liabilities or damages flowing from the operation of all electronic audio, visual and polygraph devices, the rendered oral and written opinions and statements, and /or all future actions taken, and/or all of the above based upon the examination.

Signed: Aaron von Ehlinger Date: 3/29/21

Witness: C. G.

Barbacoa
276 Bob White Ct
208-338-5000

Server: Damion
Table 301/1
Guests: 2

03/09/2021
9:50 PM
110027

Duck Two Ways 32.00
Side Mushrooms 8.00
This & That 29.00

Subtotal 69.00
Tax 4.14

Total 73.14

VISA 73.14
Tip 15.00
Total 88.14

Auth:047923

Grand Total 88.14

WE DO NOT ACCEPT
PERSONAL CHECKS

--- Check Closed ---